

## REMARKS

This amendment is in response to the outstanding Office Action and is believed to place this application in order for allowance. Accompanying this amendment is an Information Disclosure Statement bringing to the Examiner's attention some references recently cited in the corresponding Design patent application No. 29/237,446 which was filed as continuation of this application on January 15, 2004. These references were cited by the Examiner in the Notice of Allowance of the Design patent application dated November 4, 2005.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has raised some objections and rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and indicates that the claims would be allowable if these objections and rejections are overcome. In paragraph 1, the Examiner objects that the drawings do not show the three dimensional shape as claimed in claim 16. This claim has been canceled in the foregoing amendment, dealing with the drawing objection. It is therefore submitted that corrected drawings are not required, and reversal of the drawing objection is respectfully requested.

In paragraph 3, claims 2-16 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph due to lack of antecedent basis for some terms used in the claims. In the foregoing amendment, claim 7 has been amended in line 9 to change "the lower straight edge" to --a lower straight edge--, and in line 11 to change "the open window" to --the window--, which has antecedent basis in line 9. Line 12 of claim 7 has been amended to change "the hand region" to --the hand portion--, which has antecedent basis in line 4. Line 6 of claim 7 has also been amended to change "straight upper edge" to --upper straight edge-- for consistency with claim 12.

Claim 12, line 3, has been amended to change "the upper straight edge" to --the upper straight edge of the hand portion--, which deals with the Examiner's rejection of this claim as unclear. Line 5 has also been corrected to change "the lower edge" of the window to --the lower straight edge--for consistency with claim 7.

Finally, in claim 15, lines 2-3, the phrase "the edge of a sleeve on the arm" has

been amended to read --an edge of a sleeve on the arm--, dealing with the Examiner's rejection of this claim due to the lack of antecedent basis for "the edge".

It is believed that the foregoing claim amendments and cancellation of claim 16 deal with the drawing objection and all of the claim rejections raised under 35 U.S.C. 112, and reconsideration and reversal of the drawing objection and claim rejections is respectfully requested.

It is submitted that all claims remaining in this application, specifically claims 2 to 15 and 19, are now in order for allowance. Early notice to this effect is earnestly solicited. If there are any outstanding objections or rejections which could be dealt with by means of a telephone interview, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 18, 2006 By: Katherine Proctor  
Katherine Proctor  
Agent for Applicant  
Registration No. 31,468

GORDON & REES LLP  
101 West Broadway, Suite 1600  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Telephone: (619) 696-6700  
Facsimile: (619) 696-7124

Docket No. BJMER 1029825